



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,233	12/09/2003	Arnold H. Bramnick	BOC9-2003-0041 (411)	4914
7590 Gregory A. Nelson Akerman Senterfitt Fourth Floor P.O. Box 3188 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188				
05/08/2009				
EXAMINER				
FLYNN, KEVIN H				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3628				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/08/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/731,233

**Applicant(s)**

BRAMNICK ET AL.

**Examiner**

KEVIN FLYNN

**Art Unit**

3628

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KEVIN FLYNN.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. Chen, Applicant's Representative.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested Examiner's opinion regarding possible patentability in the instant application. Examiner stated, in his opinion, there was not any patentable material within the application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John W Hayes/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628